

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/782,545	ROSCHEISEN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Howard E. Abramowitz	1762

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Howard E. Abramowitz.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Hao Tung.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 March 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*The rejection of claim 22 was discussed*

Claims discussed:

*22 and 35*

Prior art documents discussed:

*3,646,591*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amending claims 22 and 35 to overcome the cited prior art and to comply with what was disclosed in the specification respectively.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)